## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Andrew Drew Johnson	Case No. 1:09 MJ 383
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing u efendant be detained pending trial.	nder the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)		fense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as d which the prison term is	efined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for 10 years or more.
an offense for which the r		mum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum	um prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
		efendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 comparable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime o	
		use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon er under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) or local offense.	was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has ela offense described in finding (1).	apsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ind that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1)	•	hat the defendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison to	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the defendant's appearance and the saf	presumption established by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the ety of the community.
1 (1)		Alternative Findings (B)
` '	There is a serious risk that the defer	• •
(2)		ndant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		- Statement of the Reasons for Detention
	✓ a preponderance of the evidence	on submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
defendant is a 23-year-old man with a history of drug abuse and no work record. He has four children but was never married.		
	•	inal history that includes drug crimes, fleeing from police officers, and numerous
conviction	ons for driving while license suspende	ed. He has absconded from parole supervision and has violated the conditions of
		drug use. He has a history of at least 13 failures to appear, resulting in the
		warrants. He is presently on parole. Defendant's poor record of compliance with y that he will honor conditions of bond in this case.
	Pa	urt III – Directions Regarding Detention
Т	he defendant is committed to the cus	stody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a
		ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending asonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United
States Co		ne Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
Date: _	October 8, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge